The Information Advantage of Banks: Evidence From Their Private Credit Assessments

Mehdi Beyhaghi<sup>1</sup> Cooper Howes<sup>1</sup> Gregory Weitzner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Federal Reserve Board

<sup>2</sup>McGill University

#### 23rd FDIC Bank Research Conference

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve System.

Beyhaghi, Howes, and Weitzner

The Information Advantage of Banks

#### Motivation

- Classic theories of financial intermediation predict that banks act as "informed inventors" relative to public markets
  - Banks play a fundamental role in screening borrowers before issuing loans and monitoring existing borrowers
  - Banks are better able to economize on the cost of information production

- Classic theories of financial intermediation predict that banks act as "informed inventors" relative to public markets
  - Banks play a fundamental role in screening borrowers before issuing loans and monitoring existing borrowers
  - Banks are better able to economize on the cost of information production
- How banks extend credit matters to policymakers and regulators
  - $\bullet\,$  Differences in information  $\implies\,$  different responses to shocks or policy interventions
- Notoriously difficult to directly test theories of asymmetric information in markets! Requires:
  - Observing private information
  - Isolating the component of private info not known by markets
  - **Observing ex-post outcomes to evaluate the value of these differences in information**

- We use supervisory data on banks' private risk assessments
  - Banks report probability of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) for each loan
  - Risk assessments are *private*: only observable by the bank itself

- We use supervisory data on banks' private risk assessments
  - Banks report probability of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) for each loan
  - Risk assessments are private: only observable by the bank itself
- We test whether changes in risk assessments predict future:
  - Stock returns
  - Ø Bond returns
  - Sarnings announcement surprises

- We use supervisory data on banks' private risk assessments
  - Banks report probability of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) for each loan
  - Risk assessments are private: only observable by the bank itself
- We test whether changes in risk assessments predict future:
  - Stock returns
  - Ø Bond returns
  - Sarnings announcement surprises
- Allows us to see if banks have an informational advantage over public markets
  - ullet If changes in bank information are already reflected in prices  $\implies$  no return predictability

- We use supervisory data on banks' private risk assessments
  - Banks report probability of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) for each loan
  - Risk assessments are private: only observable by the bank itself
- We test whether changes in risk assessments predict future:
  - Stock returns
  - Ø Bond returns
  - Sarnings announcement surprises
- Allows us to see if banks have an informational advantage over public markets
  - ullet If changes in bank information are already reflected in prices  $\implies$  no return predictability
- We can also test:
  - Where this information is coming from?
  - When is it useful?
  - O banks allocate credit based on this information?



• Changes in banks' expected losses predict future asset returns and earnings surprises

- Changes in banks' expected losses predict future asset returns and earnings surprises
  - Only when banks' adjust their expected losses upwards, consistent with specialization in monitoring for negative information (Rajan and Winton (1995))

- Changes in banks' expected losses predict future asset returns and earnings surprises
  - Only when banks' adjust their expected losses upwards, consistent with specialization in monitoring for negative information (Rajan and Winton (1995))
- Predictability concentrated among small firms and growth (low book-to-market) firms
  - No effects for largest quintile of firms

- Changes in banks' expected losses predict future asset returns and earnings surprises
  - Only when banks' adjust their expected losses upwards, consistent with specialization in monitoring for negative information (Rajan and Winton (1995))
- Predictability concentrated among small firms and growth (low book-to-market) firms
  - No effects for largest quintile of firms
- Banks use their information to allocate credit to firms
  - Exploit differences in beliefs across banks lending to the same firm at the same time

- Changes in banks' expected losses predict future asset returns and earnings surprises
  - Only when banks' adjust their expected losses upwards, consistent with specialization in monitoring for negative information (Rajan and Winton (1995))
- Predictability concentrated among small firms and growth (low book-to-market) firms
  - No effects for largest quintile of firms
- Banks use their information to allocate credit to firms
  - Exploit differences in beliefs across banks lending to the same firm at the same time
- We identify sources of banks' information advantage
  - **Q** Receive valuable information earlier than public markets via credit line drawdowns
  - Ollect/produce more information when their incentives to do so are higher

- Changes in banks' expected losses predict future asset returns and earnings surprises
  - Only when banks' adjust their expected losses upwards, consistent with specialization in monitoring for negative information (Rajan and Winton (1995))
- Predictability concentrated among small firms and growth (low book-to-market) firms
  - No effects for largest quintile of firms
- Banks use their information to allocate credit to firms
  - Exploit differences in beliefs across banks lending to the same firm at the same time
- We identify sources of banks' information advantage
  - Receive valuable information earlier than public markets via credit line drawdowns
  - Ollect/produce more information when their incentives to do so are higher
- These results validate banks' role as informed financiers even for publicly traded firms

#### 1 Data

# 2 Empirical Results

- 2.1 Are Banks Informed?
- 2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation
- 2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion

#### 1 Data

#### 2 Empirical Results

2.1 Are Banks Informed?

2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation

2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion

- Federal Reserve Y-14Q data on corporate loans by large U.S. banks (all loans over \$1mm)
  - Quarterly data on loan characteristics, loan performance and firm financials
  - Internal bank risk assessments (PD and LGD)

- Federal Reserve Y-14Q data on corporate loans by large U.S. banks (all loans over \$1mm)
  - Quarterly data on loan characteristics, loan performance and firm financials
  - Internal bank risk assessments (PD and LGD)
- Merge data with CRSP, Compustat, IBES, and TRACE
  - Quarterly bank/firm panel: 2014Q4 2019Q4

- Key variable: Expected Loss (EL) = PD  $\times$  LGD
  - PD is long-run average of one-year expected default rate
  - LGD is expected loss given default per dollar of principal

- Key variable: Expected Loss (EL) = PD  $\times$  LGD
  - PD is long-run average of one-year expected default rate
  - LGD is expected loss given default per dollar of principal
- Baseline results use indicators for EL increases  $(EL^+)$  or decreases  $(EL^-)$ 
  - Strong nonlinearities in relationship between EL and returns

#### Bank Information Summary Statistics

	Mean	SD	10%	Median	90%	Ν
PD (pp)	1.013	2.789	0.070	0.300	1.910	136,279
LGD (pp)	38.941	13.208	20.000	41.000	51.000	136,279
Expected Loss (pp)	0.327	0.902	0.029	0.102	0.600	136,279
$\Delta PD$ (pp)	0.030	1.362	-0.020	0.000	0.010	123,731
$PD^+$	0.109	0.312	0.000	0.000	1.000	123,731
PD <sup>-</sup>	0.120	0.325	0.000	0.000	1.000	123,731
$\Delta$ LGD (pp)	-0.078	4.373	-0.310	0.000	0.036	123,731
$LGD^+$	0.116	0.320	0.000	0.000	1.000	123,731
LGD <sup>-</sup>	0.133	0.340	0.000	0.000	1.000	123,731
$\Delta EL (pp)$	0.009	0.497	-0.018	0.000	0.015	123,731
EL <sup>+</sup>	0.172	0.377	0.000	0.000	1.000	123,731
EL-	0.193	0.395	0.000	0.000	1.000	123,731

#### Bank Information Distributions



Beyhaghi, Howes, and Weitzner

#### 🕕 Data

#### 2 Empirical Results

2.1 Are Banks Informed?

2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation

2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion

#### 🕕 Data

# 2 Empirical Results

#### 2.1 Are Banks Informed?

2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion



• Do quarter t changes in expected losses predict quarter t + 1 financial market outcomes?

$$y_{i,t+1} = \beta_1 E L_{i,b,t}^+ + \beta_2 E L_{i,b,t}^- + \Gamma X_{i,t} + \delta_{b,t} + \gamma_{j,t} + \epsilon_{i,b,t},$$

- $EL_{i,b,t}^+$ : dummy that equals one if the expected loss increases (firm gets worse)
- *EL*<sup>-</sup><sub>*i*,*b*,*t*</sub>: dummy that equals one if the expected loss decreases (firm gets better)
- $X_{i,t}$ : firm characteristics
- $\delta_{b,t}$ : bank-by-time fixed effects
- $\gamma_{j,t}$ : industry-by-time fixed effects
- Cluster standard errors by firm and bank/time
- $\bullet\,$  If markets learn tomorrow what banks know today, we would expect  $\beta_1 < 0$  and  $\beta_2 > 0$

#### Changes in Expected Losses Predict Financial Market Outcomes

	Stock Return	Bond Return	Negative Surprise	Earnings Return
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
EL+	-0.789***	$-0.198^{**}$	1.832***	-0.222***
	(3.896)	(2.024)	(3.654)	(2.734)
EL-	-0.233	0.088	0.266	0.073
	(1.343)	(1.342)	(0.634)	(1.067)
Book-to-Market	-0.073	0.283	4.112**	0.755***
	(0.118)	(0.737)	(2.326)	(3.449)
ROA	0.709	0.790	-3.489	0.936
	(0.358)	(0.773)	(0.526)	(1.048)
Leverage	-0.585	0.073	2.402	0.434
	(0.766)	(0.223)	(1.052)	(1.528)
Log(Market Cap)	0.209*	0.022	$-3.711^{***}$	-0.055
	(1.819)	(0.407)	(10.564)	(1.427)
Lagged Stock Return	-0.014		$-0.163^{***}$	0.313***
	(1.093)		(6.215)	(34.449)
Lagged Bond Return		$-0.085^{**}$		
		(1.999)		
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	118,901	54,741	109,051	116,340
R-squared	0.37	0.49	0.08	0.33

Other functional forms

Beyhaghi, Howes, and Weitzner

#### Information Advantage Is Short-Lived



Beyhaghi, Howes, and Weitzner

#### Larger Effects for Smaller Firms

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
EL <sup>+</sup>	-1.870**	-0.849**	-0.856***	-0.599**	0.090
	(2.425)	(2.076)	(3.039)	(2.260)	(0.389)
Book-to-Market	3.342**	-0.622	-0.666	$-2.665^{***}$	-1.371
	(2.387)	(0.481)	(0.605)	(3.111)	(1.148)
ROA	8.473	-1.268	-1.115	-4.808	-0.329
	(1.158)	(0.277)	(0.230)	(1.605)	(0.122)
Leverage	4.246	-2.055	-2.261	-0.762	-0.191
	(1.446)	(1.209)	(1.608)	(0.720)	(0.189)
Log(Market Cap)	$1.185^{*}$	0.418	-1.500	1.329**	0.407**
	(1.894)	(0.381)	(1.462)	(2.246)	(2.191)
Lagged Stock Return	-0.039	-0.020	0.005	-0.025	-0.006
	(1.458)	(0.965)	(0.264)	(1.480)	(0.329)
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	10,145	18,642	24,379	29,354	33,728
R-squared	0.38	0.48	0.49	0.53	0.51

#### 🕕 Data

# 2 Empirical Results 2.1 Are Banks Informed? 2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation

2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion

• If banks really do have an info advantage, they should use it to allocate credit

- If banks really do have an info advantage, they should use it to allocate credit
- Taking advantage of the fact that most borrowers are assessed by many banks at the same time

$$Comm_{i,b,t} = \beta_k EL_{i,b,t} + \delta_{b,t} + \alpha_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,b,t},$$

#### Most Firms Borrow from Multiple Banks



		Committed					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Expected Loss	-17.968***	-17.453***	-6.414***	-4.342***			
	(9.234)	(8.938)	(5.705)	(4.290)			
Bank-Quarter FE	NO	YES	NO	YES			
Firm-Quarter FE	NO	NO	YES	YES			
Observations	136,279	136,260	129,515	129,496			
R-squared	0.02	0.11	0.51	0.62			

1 cross-sectional s.d.  $\uparrow$  in EL  $\implies$  1 cross-sectional s.d.  $\downarrow$  in lending

#### 🕕 Data

#### 2 Empirical Results

2.1 Are Banks Informed?

2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation

2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion

- One possibility: Banks actively process/collect information
  - Use firm/time fixed-effects to control for firm-level unobservables
  - Variation in information quality is consistent with banks' theoretical incentives

- One possibility: Banks actively process/collect information
  - Use firm/time fixed-effects to control for firm-level unobservables
  - Variation in information quality is consistent with banks' theoretical incentives
- Alternative (non-mutually exclusive) possibility: Banks passively receive valuable info
  - We study credit line drawdowns as source of private info
  - Different banks receive different info depending on which loans are drawn down

- One possibility: Banks actively process/collect information
  - Use firm/time fixed-effects to control for firm-level unobservables
  - Variation in information quality is consistent with banks' theoretical incentives
- Alternative (non-mutually exclusive) possibility: Banks passively receive valuable info
  - We study credit line drawdowns as source of private info
  - Different banks receive different info depending on which loans are drawn down

#### Both channels seem to matter

#### Banks Are More Likely to Update Assessments for New and Large Loans

	PD∆	$LGD^{\Delta}$	$EL^\Delta$
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Log(Committed)	0.021***	0.059***	0.060***
	(5.072)	(9.539)	(10.694)
Months Since Financial Statement	-0.002***	-0.000	$-0.001^{**}$
	(3.638)	(0.374)	(2.168)
Months Since Audit	-0.000	-0.000	$-0.001^{*}$
	(1.576)	(0.834)	(1.884)
Maturity (months)	-0.000	$-0.001^{***}$	$-0.001^{**}$
	(0.874)	(2.972)	(2.505)
Term loan (% of Total )	0.020	0.047**	0.037*
	(1.466)	(2.112)	(1.823)
Specialize	-0.005	-0.007	-0.008
	(0.739)	(0.636)	(0.781)
New Loan	0.044***	0.103***	0.115***
	(4.795)	(9.778)	(10.816)
Drawdown	0.025***	0.120***	0.120***
	(3.337)	(9.708)	(9.813)
Paydown	0.025***	0.097***	0.098***
	(3.721)	(8.511)	(8.871)
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES
Firm-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES
Observations	93,163	93,163	93,163
R-squared	0.48	0.54	0.49

	$PD^+$	$LGD^+$	$EL^+$	$PD^+$	$LGD^+$	EL+
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Drawdown	0.000***	0.027***	0.040***	0.009	0.039***	0.033***
	(2.983)	(9.134)	(11.208)	(1.615)	(5.927)	(4.779)
Firm-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Firm-Quarter FE	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES
Observations	115,814	115,814	115,814	110,446	110,446	110,446
R-squared	0.03	0.27	0.16	0.26	0.18	0.23

#### Drawdowns and Market Outcomes

	Stock Return	Bond Return	Negative Surprise	Earnings Return
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Drawdown	-1.881***	0.041	2.513***	-0.219*
	(7.453)	(0.318)	(3.160)	(1.884)
EL <sup>+</sup>	-0.595***	-0.231**	$1.618^{***}$	-0.199**
	(3.000)	(2.253)	(3.368)	(2.529)
Book-to-Market	-0.075	0.266	4.651**	0.715***
	(0.117)	(0.669)	(2.523)	(3.116)
ROA	2.026	0.794	-0.898	0.897
	(0.974)	(0.756)	(0.128)	(0.951)
Leverage	-0.380	0.056	2.481	0.437
	(0.482)	(0.162)	(1.048)	(1.464)
Log(Market Cap)	0.151	0.028	-3.631***	-0.067*
	(1.256)	(0.472)	(10.076)	(1.656)
Lagged Stock Return	-0.017		-0.166***	0.314***
	(1.235)		(6.066)	(32.573)
Lagged Bond Return		-0.089**		
		(1.994)		
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	111,384	51,977	102,196	109,009
R-squared	0.39	0.49	0.09	0.33

• Changes in EL still predict financial market outcomes after controlling for drawdowns

Beyhaghi, Howes, and Weitzner

• Our results represent a lower bound on the magnitude of banks' informational advantage

- Our results represent a lower bound on the magnitude of banks' informational advantage
  - We only observe expected losses at quarter end

- Our results represent a lower bound on the magnitude of banks' informational advantage
  - We only observe expected losses at quarter end
  - We only look at publicly traded firms

- Our results represent a lower bound on the magnitude of banks' informational advantage
  - We only observe expected losses at quarter end
  - We only look at publicly traded firms
  - We only see the very largest banks, for whom relationship lending is less important

#### 1 Data

#### 2 Empirical Results

- 2.1 Are Banks Informed?
- 2.2 Bank Information and Credit Allocation
- 2.3 Sources of Banks' Private Information

#### 3 Conclusion

• Banks' private risk assessments predict future market outcomes

- Banks' private risk assessments predict future market outcomes
- This informational advantage:
  - Is more pronounced for small and growth firms
  - Is concentrated in negative information
  - Directly affects banks' lending decisions
  - Arises in part (though not entirely) from observing credit line drawdowns

- Banks' private risk assessments predict future market outcomes
- This informational advantage:
  - Is more pronounced for small and growth firms
  - Is concentrated in negative information
  - Directly affects banks' lending decisions
  - Arises in part (though not entirely) from observing credit line drawdowns
- Methodological contribution: Y-14Q risk measures contain banks' private information
  - Useful to explore a range of fundamental (and previously inaccessible) questions about how banks collect and use information

# Thank you!

# Evidence of Nonlinear Relationship between EL and Returns (1)

	Stock Return	Bond Return	Negative Surprise	Earnings Return
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Change in EL	-0.074	-0.060	-0.001	-0.108
	(0.364)	(0.502)	(0.002)	(1.062)
Book-to-Market	-0.092	0.276	4.185**	0.751***
	(0.150)	(0.720)	(2.365)	(3.428)
ROA	0.838	0.804	-3.760	0.962
	(0.421)	(0.786)	(0.567)	(1.077)
Leverage	-0.636	0.069	2.501	0.428
	(0.830)	(0.211)	(1.095)	(1.505)
Log(Market Cap)	0.218*	0.023	-3.730***	-0.054
	(1.889)	(0.419)	(10.602)	(1.408)
Lagged Stock Return	-0.014		-0.164***	0.313***
	(1.071)		(6.253)	(34.464)
Lagged Bond Return		$-0.085^{**}$		
		(1.990)		
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	118,901	54,741	109,051	116,340
R-squared	0.37	0.49	0.08	0.33

# Evidence of Nonlinear Relationship between EL and Returns (2)

	Stock Return	Bond Return	Negative Surprise	Earnings Return
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
EL Change Percentile	$-0.011^{**}$	-0.006**	0.031***	-0.007***
	(2.290)	(2.100)	(3.121)	(4.006)
Book-to-Market	0.670	0.275	4.879**	0.702**
	(0.920)	(0.654)	(2.556)	(2.444)
ROA	2.745	1.448	-2.691	-0.145
	(1.117)	(0.969)	(0.357)	(0.121)
Leverage	0.314	0.084	3.647	0.625*
	(0.314)	(0.194)	(1.394)	(1.738)
Log(Market Cap)	0.266*	0.029	-3.640***	$-0.079^{*}$
	(1.795)	(0.355)	(9.391)	(1.669)
Lagged Stock Return	-0.028**		-0.181***	0.316***
	(2.174)		(6.237)	(30.993)
Lagged Bond Return		-0.099		
		(1.527)		
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	43,382	18,346	39,312	42,323
R-squared	0.40	0.53	0.10	0.35

# Evidence of Nonlinear Relationship between EL and Returns (3)

	Stock Return	Bond Return	Negative Surprise	Earnings Return
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Large EL Decrease	-0.345	0.477***	0.368	0.304*
	(0.824)	(3.277)	(0.409)	(1.862)
Small EL Decrease	-0.202	0.013	0.223	0.019
	(1.045)	(0.172)	(0.501)	(0.267)
Small EL Increase	$-0.707^{***}$	$-0.141^{**}$	1.345***	$-0.132^{*}$
	(3.982)	(2.006)	(2.627)	(1.808)
Large EL Increase	$-1.083^{**}$	-0.491	3.749***	-0.568***
	(2.147)	(1.199)	(3.399)	(2.591)
Book-to-Market	-0.063	0.294	4.043**	0.767***
	(0.102)	(0.766)	(2.284)	(3.520)
ROA	0.649	0.815	-3.234	0.927
	(0.329)	(0.796)	(0.486)	(1.037)
Leverage	-0.563	0.072	2.301	0.442
	(0.737)	(0.223)	(1.009)	(1.571)
Log(Market Cap)	0.203*	0.023	$-3.685^{***}$	-0.056
	(1.773)	(0.427)	(10.537)	(1.486)
Lagged Stock Return	-0.014		$-0.162^{***}$	0.313***
	(1.105)		(6.191)	(34.411)
Lagged Bond Return		$-0.086^{**}$		
		(2.025)		
Bank-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry-Quarter FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	118,901	54,741	109,051	116,340
R-squared	0.37	0.49	0.08	0.33

